Council on Forest Engineering August 2nd, 2017

Evolution of BMP Implementation in Maine

Pat Sirois, Maine SIC Coordinator

Tom Gilbert, Water Resource Specialist, Maine Forest Service





BMPs – BIG Picture

BMP Training Partnerships – FIN, CLP, MFS, Project Share Monitoring – Certified LO's, FS Mills, CLP, MFS







BMP Training History

- 1998 SIC conducts survey of BMP training offered statewide.
- 1999 SIC/MFS/FORAT developed BMP Level I training for statewide initiative.
- Instructors guide developed and train the trainer offered for consistency in program delivery in content and philosophy
- 2000 -- SFI Participants sponsor 12 training sessions statewide.
- 2001 Level II BMP training developed and piloted



Training professionals

- Roughly 3000 loggers/foresters
- Adult education requires repetition of messages
- Information stresses outcomes vs prescription
- Stream connectivity for aquatic organism introduced in 2004
- Over 3200 individuals received BMP training since 2000.









Aquatic Organism Legislation in 2011

- Atlantic Salmon Listing
- Surveys of public road crossings show alarming condition
- Survey of native brook trout habitat shows 90% of the remaining habitat in lower 48 exist in Maine.
- Forest industry exempted
- Public roads, state and municipal are targeted





FIN

SFI committee initiates the Fisheries Improvement Network (FIN) with partners:

ME's Private Commercial Landowners **MFS** Project Share – initiated 1994 US F&W ME IF&W MFPC USDA CLP **SWOAM** Atlantic Salmon Fed TNC Me Audubon



FIN Goals

Information exchange between partners

- Surveys and locations of problem crossings
- Habitat value relative to problem crossings
- LO's near term operational plans and roads affected
- Share innovations for low cost stream x-ing techniques
- Field trips to gain common understanding:
 - Survey methodology
 - Fish baring streams
 - Severity of barriers



Stream Smart Training Introduced ME Audubon – Public Roads SFI/MFS – Forest management roads





Restoration efforts increase both on public and private roads





Rules of Thumb (4 S's)

Span the stream

Set elevation right

Slope matches stream

Substrate in the crossing





Achieving the Outcome - Many Options

Embedded Culvert

Temporary Bridge Deck







Dirigo Arch

and the star





The Outcome





BMPs monitored by several angles

- Certified lands, whether SFI or FSC monitor for BMPs
- All 1600 CLP trained loggers are monitored for BMPs during field recertification
- SFI Fiber Sourcing facilities monitor for BMPs within supply basket
- Maine Forest Service monitors for BMPs statewide





Forestry BMP Use and Effectiveness in Maine



A Brief BMP History

Clean Water Act

- Provides "<u>Silvicultural Exemption</u>" to NPDES permit requirement.
- Sites BMP's as means of NPS control.
- Appropriate BMPs must be used and effective in preventing adverse effects to water quality.

BMP History

- EPA sites a need for:
 - Measured evidence vs anecdotal reports
 - Consistent baseline information
 - Comparability among states

Prior State BMP monitoring efforts wereSporadic, anecdotal, practice focused

Northeast Regional Protocol

- Based on principles of water resource protection
- Standardizes monitoring method for comparability among states
- Relies on measurable evidence as opposed to anecdotal assessment
- Assess effectiveness, not the installation of individual practices

When the second second

Best Management Practices (BMP) Monitoring Manual—Field Guide:

Implementation and Effectiveness for Protection of Water Resources



Random Sampling

- MFS has conducted random, statewide monitoring of BMPs on timber harvesting operations since March 2000 to assess the use and effectiveness of BMPs in Maine.
- Random samples are drawn from approx. 5,000 annual timber harvest notifications.
- Data was collected on 134 timber harvests and analyzed for the 2014-2015 report.

Benefits

- Documents CWA compliance with standard report to EPA;
- Records measurable evidence to respond to criticism;
- Identifies potential improvements, facilitating focused training;
- Permits State Forester control of BMP specs, monitoring team, sample design and quality control;
- Permits logger selection of efficient, economical practices based on effectiveness, not rules.

APPROACHB-OUTSIDE THE BUFFER

APPROACH E-INSIDE

CROSSING

STRUCTUR

PPROACH A-OUTSIDE THE

STREAM CHANNEL APPROACH A-INSIDE THE BUFFER

VE

STREAM CHANNEL

Data collection 2014-2015

- 134 Sites Evaluated
 - 670 observations of soil movement
 - Each observation included for analysis in 2014-15 BMP report



The Big Picture

92% of observations showed no sediment reached a waterbody



Where is sediment coming from?





How much from the approaches?



Cause of Sedimentation from approaches

Cause of Soil Reaching the Water from the Approaches



How much from the crossing?



What activities led to sedimentation?

Activities Related to Sedimentation at Crossings



Conclusions

- Most harvesting is not negatively affecting water quality
- Most loggers are properly using BMPs
- Sedimentation originating from inside the approach and crossing structure are particular concerns

Training areas

- Stress proper application and maintenance of BMPs as site conditions change (approaches)
- Proper installation and closeout of crossings to minimize sediment input (crossings)

Questions??

Tom Gilbert Water Resources Specialist Maine Forest Service 22 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 Desk: 207-287-1073 | Cell: 207-441-5282 thomas.gilbert@maine.gov